

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,333	HOSHINO ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	

All Participants:

(1) SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY

(2) Eric Sinn

Date of Interview: 20 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections in Office action mailed on June 11, 2009.

Claims discussed:

1, 5-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Those cited in Office action mailed on June 11, 2009.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SRM proposed claim amendments to claims 1 and 5 that would place the case in condition for allowance. ES agreed to the proposed claim amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sheridan R. MacAuley/
Examiner

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)